

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD  
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING

February 26, 2004

Dago Mary's Restaurant  
Hunters Point Shipyard, Building 916  
Donahue Street at Hudson Avenue  
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

===== \*\*\*\*\* =====

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive

Foster City, CA 94404-1707

(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1 P A R T I C I P A N T S

2

3 FACILITATOR: JACKIE WRIGHT - Pendergrass & Associates

4 CO-CHAIRS: KEITH FORMAN - United States Navy SWDIV

5 LYNNE BROWN - Communities for a Better

6 Environment (CBE), Community

7 First Coalition (CFC)

8

9

10 RAB MEMBERS

11

12 LANI ASHER - Communities for a Better Environment (CBE),

13 Community First Coalition (CFC)

14 AMY BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public Health

15 MAURICE CAMPBELL - Business Development, Inc. (BDI);

16 Community First Coalition (CFC); New California Media;

17 NEW BAYVIEW NEWSPAPER

18 CHARLES L. DACUS, SR. - Hunters Point resident,

19 R.O.S.E.S.

20 MITSUYO HASEGAWA - JRM Associates

21 JACQUELINE ANN LANE - U.S. Environmental Protection

22 Agency (EPA)

23 LISA LAULU - All Islanders Gathering As One (A.I.G.A. 1)

24 LEA LOIZOS - Arc Ecology

25 KEVYN D. LUTTON - Resident

1 RAB MEMBERS [Cont.]:

2

3 J. R. MANUEL - JRM Associates, India Basin resident

4 JESSE MASON - Community First Coalition (CFC)

5 JULIE MENACK - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality

6 Control Board

7 GEORGIA OLIVA - Communities for a Better Environment

8 (CBE), CCA member

9 MELITA RINES - India Basin Neighborhood Association

10 SEALI'IMALIETOA SAM RIPLEY - Samoan American Media

11 Services

12 AHIMSA PORTER SUMCHAI - Bayview-Hunters Point Health &

13 Environmental Resource Center (HERC)

14 KEITH TISDELL - Hunters Point resident

15 RAYMOND TOMPKINS - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on

16 the Environment

17 MICHAEL WORK - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

18 LEHUANANIKEALAKAUILANIALOHILANILEILANI WRIGHT - JRM

19 Associates

20 ---oOo---

21

1 OTHER ATTENDEES

2

3 ARVIND ACHARYA - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

4 (I.T.S.I.)

5 ANDREW L. BOZEMAN - Southeast Sector Community

6 Development Corporation

7 AMY BROOKS - Community First Coalition

8 A. DON CAPOBRES - San Francisco Redevelopment Agency

9 FRANCISCO DA COSTA - Environmental Justice Advocacy

10 DARYL DeLONG - New World Environmental Inc.

11 BENJAMIN FEICK - Waste Solutions Group (WSG)

12 LINO FRAGOSO - United States Navy Radiological Affairs

13 Support Office (RASO)

14 WILLIAM HANEY - New World Technology

15 CHRIS HANIF - Young Community Developers (YCD)

16 CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

17 RONALD WM. KEICHLINE - Innovative Technical Solutions,

18 Inc. (I.T.S.I.)

19 SHERLINA NAGEER - Literacy for Environmental Justice

20 (LEJ)

21 DANIELLE PACIFICO-COGAN - Office of Congresswoman Nancy

22 Pelosi

23 JOHN POLYAK - New World Environmental Inc.

24 DENNIS M. ROBINSON - Shaw Environmental &

25 Infrastructure, Inc.

1                   OTHER ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2

3 DAVID ROGERS - Mendelian Construction

4 RANON ROSS - SF Brown Bombers

5 LEE H. SAUNDERS - United States Navy

6 CLIFTON J. SMITH - C.J. Smith & Associates, Eagle

7   Environmental Construction

8 DEREK SMITH - Marinship Construction Services, Inc.

9 GLENN STARR - Tetra Tech Foster Wheeler (TTFW)

10 KAREN STEARNS - Singer Associates

11 DAVID TERZIAN - The Point

12 ALLISON TURNER - Katz & Associates

13 JULIA VETROMILE - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

14 OLIN WEBB - Bayview-Hunters Point Community Advocates

15 JASON WEBSTER - Shipyard artist

16 PETER WILSEY - San Francisco Department of Public Health

17 STEFANIE YOW - Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

18                   ---oOo---

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2004

2 6:05 P.M.

3 ---oOo---

4 MS. J. WRIGHT: Good evening, ladies and  
5 gentlemen. My name is Jackie Wright, and I just would  
6 like to go ahead and introduce myself. I will be  
7 facilitating the meeting this evening. Got a lot to  
8 cover. We're starting a little bit late.

9 We're going to start with, of course, welcoming  
10 you here and your participation. And we want to begin  
11 with introductions.

12 My name is Jackie Wright, and I will be here  
13 this month facilitating for Marsha Pendergrass. Marsha  
14 will be back here next -- the next month's meeting, and  
15 we're going to go around the table and introduce  
16 ourselves. We're going to pass the mike. And then  
17 additionally, the audience that is here as well, you get  
18 to introduce -- introduce yourselves as well.

19 MR. BROWN: Good evening. Lynne Brown,  
20 co-chair, Restoration Advisory Board.

21 MR. FORMAN: Keith Forman, Navy BRAC  
22 Environmental Coordinator and co-chair Restoration  
23 Advisory Board.

24 MR. MANUEL: J. R. Manuel, J. R. Manuel  
25 Associates.

1           MR. WORK: Michael Work with the U.S.  
2 Environmental Protection Agency.

3           MS. MENACK: Julie Menack, Regional Water  
4 Quality Control Board.

5           MR. RIPLEY: Seali'imalietao Sam Ripley. I'm a  
6 resident of San Francisco.

7           MR. BOZEMAN: Andrew Bozeman, alternate for  
8 Marie Harrison who represents SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW  
9 newspaper.

10          MR. DACUS: Charles L. Dacus, Sr., ROSES and  
11 also a RAB member.

12          MS. SUMCHAI: Ahimsa Sumchai, RAB member.

13          MS. RINES: Melita Rines, India Basin  
14 Neighborhood Association.

15          MR. TISDELL: Keith Tisdell, resident.

16          MS. LAULU: Lisa Laulu, Hunters Point resident.

17          MR. KEICHLINE: Ron Keichline, I.T.S.I.  
18 community relations.

19          MR. ACHARYA: Arvind Acharya, I.T.S.I.

20          MS. LUTTON: Kevyn Lutton, Community First  
21 Coalition, RAB Board.

22          MR. CAMPBELL: Maurice Campbell, CAC.

23          MS. LOIZOS: Lea Loizos, Arc Ecology.

24          MS. ASHER: Lani Asher, Shipyard artist.

25          MS. OLIVA: Georgia Oliva, Shipyard artist.

1 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco  
2 Health Department.  
3 MR. ROBINSON: Dennis Robinson, Shaw  
4 Environmental.  
5 MS. TURNER: Allison Turner, Katz & Associates  
6 MS. BROOKS: Amy Brooks, Community First  
7 Coalition.  
8 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, EPA community  
9 involvement.  
10 MS. STEARNS: Karen Stearns, Singer Associates.  
11 MR. FEICK: Benjamin Feick, Waste Solutions  
12 Group.  
13 MR. WEBSTER: Jason Webster, Shipyard artist,  
14 Building 366.  
15 MS. HUNTER: Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech.  
16 MR. DA COSTA: Francisco Da Costa, Director  
17 Environmental Justice Advocacy.  
18 MR. STARR: Glenn Starr . . .  
19 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.  
20 I'm sorry?  
21 MR. STARR: Glenn Starr, S-t-a-r-r.  
22 MR. DeLONG: Daryl DeLong, New World  
23 Technology.  
24 MR. HANEY: Bill Haney, New World Technology.  
25 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Lino Fragoso, RASO.



1 MR. POLYAK: John Polyak, New World Technology.

2 MS. NAGEER: Sherlina Nageer, Literacy for  
3 Environmental Justice.

4 MS. J. WRIGHT: We're going to try to stay on  
5 time. We're a little bit late, but we're going to move  
6 forward with the business of the evening.

7 And one of the first things we want to do is  
8 review the agenda for everyone to take a look at it. If  
9 there are any additions or changes or any adjustments  
10 that we to make, please speak up now.

11 It seems all in order with everyone. So what  
12 we're going to do is, the first action item that we're  
13 going to take is take a look at the minutes. And  
14 hopefully, everyone's had an opportunity to review the  
15 minutes from our January 2nd [sic] meeting.

16 Can I get a motion from someone on it? Or --

17 MR. BROWN: I make a motion --

18 MS. RINES: I make motion to accept the  
19 minutes.

20 MR. RAB MEMBER: Second.

21 MS. J. WRIGHT: It's been moved and second.

22 Any discussion on the minutes? Any changes?

23 It's been moved and second. Call for the vote.

24 All in favor?

25 THE BOARD: Aye.

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: All right. Moving on to our  
2 agenda. The first thing we're going to do is take a  
3 look at our action items for this evening. There are a  
4 couple.

5 There's a carryover from last month, and that  
6 is for the Navy to provide information to Jesse Mason  
7 regarding additional bonding/radioactive waste hauling  
8 certification -- certification, if any. And I'm sure  
9 that's probably going to be reported in the Na- --  
10 that's going to be given in the Navy's report.

11 MR. FORMAN: No.

12 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

13 MR. FORMAN: No. But I believe that was --  
14 Is Jesse here?

15 MR. MASON: Right here.

16 MR. FORMAN: Mark Gelsinger gave you that  
17 information. Right?

18 MR. MASON: No. I don't remember it.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: No, he didn't.

20 MR. MASON: I don't remember. We didn't get it  
21 at that meeting.

22 MR. FORMAN: Well, is he working on it  
23 or . . . ?

24 MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, it seems that's an item,  
25 obviously, that's going to have to be carried over.

1 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

2 MS. J. WRIGHT: And we'll follow up on it.

3 Yes, sir, Maurice?

4 MR. CAMPBELL: I believe the point is

5 additional insurance on it.

6 MR. MASON: Yeah. That's what we were talking

7 about.

8 MR. ATTENDEE: Not bonding?

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Not bonding.

10 MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, we'll get clarification

11 on what the exact information is going to be provided,

12 and it will be carried on over to the next meeting.

13 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

14 MS. J. WRIGHT: There are a couple of new

15 items, I understand. And that is the Navy to determine

16 if any of the Parcel D and E TCR [sic] areas were

17 previously addressed in the 2001 TCR [sic]. And then

18 also include sample dates and analytical results of the

19 2001 TCRA in the work report [sic] for Parcel D, E and

20 TCRA [sic].

21 So I expect that maybe that might be addressed

22 in --

23 MR. FORMAN: I'm going to talk to that.

24 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. Okay.

25 So at this time, we're going to go to Keith

1 Forman for the Navy announcements.

2 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Great. Thank you.

3 Okay, everybody. A couple of quick  
4 announcements if I could have your attention. Commander  
5 Lino Fragoso, Ph.D; he's from RASO --

6 MR. TISDELL: Who's that?

7 MR. FORMAN: -- Radiological Affairs Support  
8 Office. He's here tonight to give a presentation on  
9 something we're issuing today, and that's the draft  
10 final Historical Radiological Assessment, the  
11 long-awaited HRA for the base.

12 There is a fact sheet that you should have  
13 picked up, Fact Sheet No. 5 in our series. That's  
14 available to you.

15 And we're going to have something called the  
16 Historical Radiological Assessment Information Day.

17 And Ron, if you could write that up somewhere  
18 on the -- on the -- butcher paper there.

19 And that's going to be on Saturday, March 20th,  
20 at the E. P. Mills facility; and it's going to be from  
21 11:00 to 3:00. Okay? And we're going to be doing ads  
22 to support that, and we'll do an e-mail to support that  
23 too.

24 But the idea is this: If you have any interest  
25 at all or any questions and you want to talk to Navy and

1 RASO up close and personal looking at things in a -- for  
2 an exchange of information where you just want to talk  
3 to us about your concerns, go to -- go to this HRA  
4 Information Day. We're putting it on just for you and  
5 the rest of the community if you have any questions.

6           And again, that's 11:00 to 3:00 on Saturday,  
7 March 20th, at the E. P. Mills facility.

8           Okay. Prior to that -- and this will answer  
9 many of the questions on the Parcel D time-critical  
10 removal action action memo on March 9th -- that's a  
11 Tuesday -- at 6:30 in the evening here at Dago Mary's,  
12 we're going to have a public meeting, and I'm going to  
13 do a presentation on the Parcel D time-critical removal  
14 action and everything it involves.

15           And I invite you and anyone from the community  
16 you want to bring here to talk -- to listen to my talk  
17 and then ask me questions about our removal action on  
18 Parcel D. And that's, again, March 9th at 6:30 here at  
19 Dago Mary's.

20           In addition to that, on Saturday, March 27th,  
21 okay, again at the E. P. Mills facility, this time from  
22 10:30 to 3:30, is another community outreach opportunity  
23 for us. You can see these fliers on the back table. If  
24 you don't have one, you can -- you can get with Ron.  
25 This is for our Business Contracting Expo, opportunities

1 at Hunters Point Shipyard.

2 MR. BROWN: Ron.

3 MR. FORMAN: Okay. What this is about is  
4 getting with independent businessmen, like independent  
5 truckers, getting together with small businesses in the  
6 community and getting together with community members  
7 and organizations, like YCD, that groom employees for  
8 work in these companies that involve environmental  
9 cleanup, things like that. It's your opportunity to  
10 interface with not only the Navy, but the Navy's  
11 contractors.

12 Couple things we're going to do there on  
13 Saturday is, you're going to learn about the available  
14 contracts on the base. You're going to meet with  
15 representatives not only from the Navy, but from our  
16 contractors. And those contractors include Tetra Tech  
17 Foster Wheeler, I.T.S.I., The Shaw Group, SULTECH, ERRG  
18 and TPA-CKY, to name a few of the prime contractors.

19 We're also going to have the Small Business  
20 Association -- Administration there and the Young  
21 Community Developers and the -- and we're going to get  
22 together, and we're going to talk about potential  
23 employment opportunities and how the Navy is going above  
24 and beyond --

25 MR. BROWN: -- the call of duty.

1           MR. FORMAN:  -- to try -- to try and increase  
2 and -- and really maximize the opportunities for small  
3 businesses in this area to employ people in this area  
4 to -- to -- in our -- in our environmental cleanup  
5 program.

6           We've been working with Maurice Campbell on  
7 this, and we're -- I think this is going to be much  
8 better than the contracting business information fair  
9 that we put on about a year ago, and I welcome everybody  
10 to come.  It's Saturday, March 27th, 10:30 a.m. to  
11 3:30 p.m.

12          We'll also be talking with you -- we'll have  
13 knowledgeable people there that will talk with you about  
14 getting help with certifications, licensing, and other  
15 requirements for some of the special needs in order to  
16 do some of the jobs that will become available out there  
17 at Hunters Point during our environmental cleanups.

18          Okay.  So the three key dates I mentioned,  
19 again, March 9th for the public meeting on Par- --  
20 Parcel D, March 20th for the HRA Information Day, and  
21 March 27th for the contracting business expo for Hunters  
22 Point.

23          Couple other items.  You won't see Pat Brooks  
24 here tonight most probably.  We had to split up.  He's  
25 at a community meeting for Portola Silver Terrace, and

1 he's over there manning the booth and talking about the  
2 needs of the environmental cleanup program. It's part  
3 of our outreach. We're talking to Asian-Americans and  
4 some Hispanics in the community about the status of the  
5 program and opportunities in the future. So that's  
6 where he's at tonight.

7           In addition to that, I'll let Commander Fragoso  
8 talk more about why Laurie Lowman isn't here. I know we  
9 expected her and we wanted her here, but she just  
10 couldn't make it tonight.

11           That's all I've got.

12           MR. BROWN: I don't have anything.

13           MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. Without any further  
14 reports from the community co-chair, we'll just get  
15 right into our subcommittee reports. And I think the  
16 last comment about the March 27th date that had to do  
17 with the economic development is a good segue for the  
18 Economic Development Committee.

19           MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

20           MS. J. WRIGHT: Maurice Campbell?

21           MR. CAMPBELL: That will be fine.

22           MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

23           MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I think it's very, very  
24 important to members of the community to be there if  
25 they are looking at doing business in the future with



1 the Navy. The SBA will be -- will be there, and  
2 we're -- hopefully, we'll get people certified.

3 As far as the Economic Committee is, in our  
4 last meeting, I believe most of the primes and some --  
5 some of the 8-A's are trying to do as much business  
6 locally, buying water, getting copying done, et cetera.  
7 So we're looking at some changes in the numbers, and we  
8 will be monitoring those. And it's also written into  
9 the contracts now about doing business locally.

10 Okay. That will summarize it for me. Thank  
11 you.

12 MS. J. WRIGHT: Are there going to be  
13 additional of our community on this? Who is going to be  
14 responsible for that, getting the word out?

15 MR. FORMAN: They -- Maurice . . .

16 (Sotto voce discussion.)

17 MS. J. WRIGHT: I was just asking about the  
18 community outreach and getting the word out about the  
19 date on the 27th, since it is -- would affect people's  
20 employment, and that's all kinds of opportunities.

21 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, I can. Yeah.

22 The -- We're going to be doing the advertising  
23 for that and the mail-outs, and we're going to be  
24 advertising in the local newspapers and in the larger  
25 newspapers as well but also BAYVIEW. And then Maurice

1 for his part is going to be networking with his contacts  
2 to get the word out.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. One other item. I have a  
4 radio show -- radio show scheduled before that. I will  
5 announce it on the air also.

6 MR. FORMAN: Terrific.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. The next Economic  
8 meeting --

9 MR. FORMAN: Is that -- is that radio show --  
10 is that on KFOG?

11 MR. CAMPBELL: KPOO.

12 MR. FORMAN: KBAY?

13 MR. CAMPBELL: KPOO, 89.5.

14 MS. J. WRIGHT: Maurice, you said you had a  
15 date, another meeting -- to meet at the next meeting?

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. That will also be on  
17 March 9th.

18 MS. J. WRIGHT: March 9th?

19 MR. CAMPBELL: It will be at 2:30 at the Anna  
20 Waden Library.

21 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. March 9th, 2:30, and at  
22 the Anna Warden library.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: Anna Waden Library, yes.

24 MS. J. WRIGHT: Anna Waden Library.

25 Okay. Moving on to our -- the rest of our

1 community reports. There's the combined committee, if I  
2 was reading my notes correctly; and if I remember  
3 correctly, the radiological issues, Technical Review and  
4 Risk Review and Health, they were combined. And I  
5 believe Lea had -- is providing the leadership for that.

6 MS. LOIZOS: I just had a quick question. Am  
7 I --? Have we decided officially that I'm also the  
8 Health Risk Subcommittee?

9 MR. BROWN: No.

10 MS. LOIZOS: Okay, because that seems like  
11 maybe a little bit too much.

12 Well, we had a -- kind of a brief informal  
13 meeting last time. There was just a couple of us. So I  
14 didn't type up any notes.

15 We're kind of reviewing how a groundwater  
16 monitoring plan is reviewed and looked at some of the  
17 regulator comments.

18 And then we also were kind of reviewing the use  
19 of the administrative record.

20 We have a couple -- I have a couple of  
21 questions from the subcommittee for the Navy. What are  
22 those questions . . .

23 First, when will the data from the most recent  
24 sampling done on Parcel F be provided? Is there a date  
25 for that for the release of the data from the latest

1 sampling of Parcel F, Keith?

2 MR. FORMAN: I don't -- You're -- you're  
3 expecting me to answer now --

4 MS. LOIZOS: Well, okay.

5 MR. FORMAN: -- without a schedule in front of  
6 me?

7 MS. LOIZOS: That is my question. Sorry.

8 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. I thought you were putting  
9 these questions in the record. I mean, do you want --?

10 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. That's fine. I thought  
11 maybe you might know that one off the top of your head.

12 MR. FORMAN: I think I do, but I don't want to  
13 guess.

14 MS. LOIZOS: Okay.

15 And then there were some concerns about the  
16 pump that overflows -- that overflowed to Parcel E  
17 recently, the pump stations or whatever. It's like -- I  
18 don't know what the old building number is.

19 But there were concerns that there were some  
20 remaining contamination there; and I guess in the past,  
21 requests had been made to get sampling done in there by  
22 some of the community members. I think this is prior to  
23 my -- I don't -- do you know --? I -- so anyway.

24 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Go ahead and put that in  
25 the record.

1 MS. LOIZOS: People are wondering if sampling  
2 was ever done in that area.

3 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

4 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. So our next meeting is  
5 going to be very important clearly since both the work  
6 plan for the time-critical removal action is out as well  
7 as the HRA.

8 So we'll have our first meeting on Tuesday,  
9 March 16th, at 6 o'clock at the Community Window on the  
10 Shipyard, 4634 Third Street. That will be the first of  
11 several, I'm sure.

12 MR. FORMAN: Do you --? Is this --? Do you  
13 want the Navy to come? Do you want us to come?

14 MS. LOIZOS: I --

15 MS. J. WRIGHT: For the next full report for  
16 the next meeting?

17 MR. FORMAN: Well, no. There's two -- She --  
18 It is the prerogative of the RAB and the prerogative of  
19 Lea to either -- sometimes they have subcommittee  
20 meetings where they want to just get together, and  
21 sometimes they want us to come.

22 MS. LOIZOS: Let's talk at the break.

23 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

24 MS. J. WRIGHT: So the next subcommittee report  
25 would be the Risk Review and Health and . . .

1 MS. LOIZOS: That -- that's Karen Pierce's  
2 subcommittee, and they -- I can tell you that it didn't  
3 meet.

4 MR. BROWN: It didn't meet.

5 MS. J. WRIGHT: All right.

6 MS. LOIZOS: And I don't know what it's about.

7 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

8 All right. The next --

9 MS. SUMCHAI: Before we go, maybe we should all  
10 talk during the break. I am going to be back with you;  
11 and as long as I can hold a subcommittee meeting that's  
12 not in the evening times, there's not going to be a  
13 problem for me with assuming responsibility for the  
14 radiol- -- Radiological Subcommittee; and, you know,  
15 there may be some areas of the health risk that we can  
16 also explore.

17 But certainly, we need to coordinate the  
18 committee structure in keeping with the event that  
19 you're going to be having on the -- let's see. You're  
20 having --

21 MR. FORMAN: 20th.

22 MS. SUMCHAI: You're having it on the 20th also  
23 to discuss the HRA. So we don't want to get things --  
24 have things redundant. So we should just talk.

25 MR. FORMAN: And the fact it's not -- Ahimsa,

1 it's not out of the question if you wanted to -- one  
2 option you have is to hold the subcommittee meeting  
3 there at the same time --

4 MS. SUMCHAI: That will be wonderful.

5 MR. FORMAN: -- if you -- if you wish.

6 MS. SUMCHAI: Yeah. There needs -- there needs  
7 to be some advance -- yeah.

8 MR. KEICHLINE: That will be on the 20th  
9 of March? That's the meeting you're talking about?

10 MR. FORMAN: Yes. Saturday, the 20th, is the  
11 HRA Information Day; and if the -- if the Radiological  
12 Subcommittee, because so many of the players are going  
13 to be there, if you want to -- if you do want to  
14 schedule it then, that would be a great opportunity to  
15 get real-time answers to questions.

16 MS. SUMCHAI: Okay. Well, let's just do it  
17 then.

18 MR. KEICHLINE: All right.

19 MS. SUMCHAI: Schedule the meeting.

20 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. So I am no longer in charge  
21 of the Radiological --

22 MR. BROWN: Right.

23 MS. LOIZOS: -- Subcommittee?

24 MS. RINES: Right.

25 MS. LOIZOS: Okay. Thank you.

1           MR. TISDELL:  You're welcome.

2           MS. J. WRIGHT:  And moving on to the --

3           Any other discussion on that?

4           Moving on to the next committee, the

5 Membership, Bylaws and Outreach, Melita Rines?

6           MS. RINES:  Yeah, okay.

7           We met --  We had the committee meeting minutes

8 typed up.  Basically, we were still -- still don't have

9 the term sheet for SFPD because they have not gotten

10 back to Redevelopment on it, and we can't see it until

11 they have signed off on it in that they agree, and then

12 it will be open to discussion.  And so we're still

13 working on that.

14           The big issue that we came up with is, we

15 have -- presently we have three RAB members that have to

16 be removed from the Board based on the fact that they

17 have missed four meetings.  It's Harry Shin, James

18 Morrison, and Helen Jackson.

19           Now, what we're doing is, we have -- we also

20 have a letter, a form letter, that's going to go out

21 stating that, you know, we notified the people ahead of

22 time, and then we tell them, and they sign off on

23 this -- Keith and Lynne sign off on this letter,

24 explaining that they have to be removed.

25           They are more than welcome to come back on, but



1 we've got to keep it moving. If you miss four, for  
2 whatever reason, you have -- you are removed  
3 automatically, but you can come back on.

4           The other thing we discussed at the meeting is  
5 the lack of attendance. We don't know what to do now.  
6 We're still not getting a lot of people coming to the  
7 subcommittees, and I need to bring it up to everybody  
8 once again. When we have these issues that are  
9 administrative and things of that nature, they need to  
10 be addressed in subcommittees.

11           So if there's problems about how many people  
12 are on the Board or how it's working, people need to  
13 come to these -- come -- come to the Membership/Bylaws  
14 Subcommittee so we can discuss it, because I don't want  
15 to take up the full time to do this. I know it needs to  
16 be done, but that's what the subcommittees were  
17 commit- -- were created for.

18           So basically, I want to try to get more people  
19 to come to the meetings, or else I'm not going to hold  
20 the meetings if we don't have anything to discuss  
21 because, you know, everybody's time is important -- is  
22 important.

23           And that's it.

24           MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

25           MS. RINES: Next meeting is March 10th,

1 Wednesday, at the Anna Waden Library at 6:30.

2 MR. BROWN: May -- Don Capobres is right over  
3 here. You can ask him about the police department out  
4 here at the Shipyard, the term sheet.

5 MR. DACUS: Where you at?

6 MR. BROWN: He just came in.

7 MS. RINES: Don, did you get -- did the SFPD  
8 sign off on the term sheet?

9 MR. CAPOBRES: No. We are still working --  
10 Don Capobres, Redevelopment Agency. We are  
11 still working with SFPD, but we are working very closely  
12 with that subcommittee. We'll work with them as soon as  
13 we get -- we get that back.

14 MS. RINES: Okay.

15 MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, any --?

16 MR. FORMAN: Can I -- can I just say one thing?

17 Yeah. Just to -- just to kind of reinforce  
18 what Melita and Keith are saying here, that they do hard  
19 work coming to the subcommittee meeting, and they really  
20 would appreciate a better turnout. And Melita's very  
21 open to hearing ideas from you. Just talk to her about  
22 what you think needs to happen for you to become a part  
23 of that subcommittee and attend.

24 For instance, when the term sheet from the SFPD  
25 is turned over, the subcommittee's going to be where it

1 happens and where it's discussed; and that's an issue  
2 that I think more than just Keith Tisdell and Melita  
3 Rines have an interest in.

4           So keep that in the back of your mind, and talk  
5 to Melita when you have a chance, because she really is  
6 interested in getting attendance up, and she often has  
7 the Navy in attendance there. So Pat Brooks and I have  
8 been coming at her request to these subcommittee  
9 meetings, and occasionally we talk about more than just  
10 the topics that are on the agenda too, and it's a pretty  
11 good opportunity.

12           One thing we don't talk about is Raider Nation.  
13 So Keith, put that away.

14           MR. MANUEL: I don't blame you. They losing  
15 all the time. Why talk about them?

16           MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, we're at an interesting  
17 point here on the agenda. We're a little bit of ahead  
18 of time. It's at the point where we could have a break,  
19 or we could go right into our presentation. So I --

20           MR. ATTENDEE: Break.

21           MR. ATTENDEE: Break.

22           MS. ATTENDEE: Right.

23           MR. TISDELL: Give the stenographer a break.

24           MS. J. WRIGHT: All right. Ten-minute --  
25 ten-minute break. Okay.

1 (Recess 6:31 p.m. to 6:39 p.m.)

2 MS. J. WRIGHT: If you make your way back to  
3 the seats, please, as we continue our meeting.

4 If you make your way back to the seats, please,  
5 as we continue our meeting.

6 MR. TISDELL: Let them know you mean it.

7 MS. J. WRIGHT: Thank you, Keith.

8 MR. TISDELL: Let them know you mean it.

9 MS. J. WRIGHT: And we'll have our Navy  
10 co-chair please introduce our presenter, please.

11 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Okay, Keith.

12 All right. I am pleased to announce, I think,  
13 for many of you the long-awaited presentation on the  
14 long-awaited document, the Historical Radiological  
15 Assessment, better known to us as the HRA. That  
16 document is -- it's coming out. We've got a fact sheet  
17 to support that.

18 But better than that, we have got -- we've got  
19 Commander Lino Fragoso here, Ph.D. He's the officer in  
20 charge of the N- -- the Navy Radiological Affairs  
21 Support Office, known as RASO; and he's here to talk to  
22 you about that and a couple of other things; and I  
23 appreciate him coming out for that. And I'll hand it  
24 over to Lino.

25 (Applause)

1           CMDR. FRAGOSO: Thank you. Good evening.

2           By all rights, Laurie should be here to do the  
3 presentation. Unfortunately, as you well know, Dick has  
4 cancer, and his treatment has not been working well.

5           So this week they started some more aggressive  
6 treatment. In view of that problem, we didn't feel --  
7 she didn't feel that she should be here.

8           I talked to Dick this morning. He's doing  
9 well. His chemotherapy is doing -- he survived the  
10 first week. This is the first week that it started. So  
11 we are praying and we're hopeful that everything will  
12 come out okay.

13          But it seems like as it goes probably Laurie  
14 will be able to be here on the 20th of March to be with  
15 all of you.

16          I'm here just to give you a brief presentation  
17 about the HRA. I mean, we've -- it's finished.  
18 Thank -- I mean, thank -- thank you for Laurie and her  
19 team.

20          And I would like to introduce John Polyak and  
21 Bill Haney from New World Technology. They have worked  
22 with Laurie a lot in the past 14 months to get this out.

23          And actually, they are here because I really  
24 don't know the HRA as well as they do. They actually  
25 did the research. They put the document together with

1 Laurie. Laurie led the team, and they are here to  
2 answer all of your questions, because I know I won't be  
3 able to answer all of your questions.

4           So if you will please hold your questions until  
5 the end of the presentation, then they can actually  
6 comment. We can call them up, or I can call them up and  
7 answer whatever questions you have. I know every time I  
8 come here, you have about six or seven questions.

9           Next slide, please.

10           Well, the draft final was managed by RASO,  
11 specifically by Laurie, and we began in January 2003.  
12 We have made a pledge of getting it right, and for that  
13 we did extensive review of federal and private archives.  
14 I mean, we actually went to 14 different sites, looking  
15 for archives. And when we did -- or the team, actually,  
16 reviewed over 5,000 documents to get it right. And we  
17 also sought some interviewees.

18           Many of you actually provided the names of some  
19 of the people that we are associated with Hunters Point,  
20 and others actually answered the advertisement in the  
21 newspaper.

22           The main document is divided into ten main  
23 sections: "Executive Summary," "Introduction," "Site  
24 Identification and Description," the methodology that  
25 was used, the "Regulatory Involvement," "History" of the

1 place.

2           The "Assessment of Impacted Sites," No. 7,  
3 tells you the methodology that was used during -- during  
4 the doc- -- using the document.

5           "Findings and Recommendations," No. 8, that is  
6 the meat of the document, actually. It is a very easily  
7 readable section, but it has just about all of the sites  
8 that we are considering impacted. And I'll talk about  
9 that a little bit later. Ultimately, "References" on  
10 chap- -- on sec- -- Chapter 10.

11           Next one.

12           Has a glossary, acronyms, and four appendices:  
13 "Radiation Overview," the "Interviews"; it has a  
14 synopsis of all of the interviews that are included, the  
15 "Historical Drawings and Photographs," and it comes with  
16 three CDs of the reference documents that were used for  
17 the do- -- for this document.

18           Next.

19           This is a draft-to-draft final comparison. The  
20 first one, the draft that was actually prepared in March  
21 of 2002, took two months to take -- prepare. This draft  
22 final has taken 14 months.

23           The first draft was primarily using a R- -- the  
24 RASO archives. The second draft we actually went to  
25 14 archive locations, and we actually used some of the

1 private documents for some people.

2           In the references, the draft only had 83. In  
3 the draft final, we had 340 out of the 5,000  
4 approximately that were reviewed.

5           Next one.

6           In interviews the draft only had 13 interviews.  
7 The draft final, 179 persons were contacted. Out of  
8 those 179, 34 were interviewed in depth because they had  
9 knowledge of the radiological conditions of the Shipyard  
10 and in NRDL. That's the Naval Radiological Defense  
11 Laboratory. And it also includes 13 from the draft.  
12 The result is that from the draft, we have 56 impacted

13 sites, and now we have 90 impacted sites.

14           Next slide.

15           Now, impacted sites, the definition of  
16 radiological terms is very different from the chemical  
17 world. I mean, you may get confused in the sense that  
18 in the chemical world, we talk about impacted sites, and  
19 you probably think it's contaminated.

20           In radiological terms -- and this is a term  
21 that is used by the Department of Energy, the Regulatory  
22 Commission, et cetera -- is one that has a potential for  
23 radioactive contamination based on historical  
24 information. It does not mean that it's contaminated.  
25 It just means that it has the potential.



1           It means that basically somebody went over to  
2 that site, did some work that involved radiological  
3 materials -- radioactive materials; and based on that  
4 information, we assume that the place is impacted.

5           Okay. Next slide.

6           Out of the 882 total HPS sites identified, we  
7 found 90 impacted sites: 4 in Parcel A, 14 in Parcel B,  
8 12 in C, 17 in D, 33 in E, 2 in -- 2 sites in F, 1  
9 off-base facility, and 3 sites that are basewide, and  
10 I'll talk a little bit more about that later, and 4  
11 sites that are Formerly Utilized Defense Sites.

12           FUDS, or Formerly Utilized Defense Sites,  
13 actually belong to the Army Corps of Engineers. It  
14 doesn't belong to the Navy.

15           Of the sites, you can see these are  
16 highlighted, and you will see those maps actually in the  
17 HRA. Dr. Sumchai has a copy of the HRA.

18           MS. SUMCHAI: Yes. I read it too.

19           CMDR. FRAGOSO: Okay. Wow, that's 800 pages.  
20 Well --

21           MS. SUMCHAI: That I started at 2 o'clock  
22 today.

23           CMDR. FRAGOSO: Okay.

24           And of the next slide, we'll see some of the  
25 impacted sites out of the southwest. We had to divide

1 the slides in two because basically we are going to put  
2 it all together. In all you will see all of these  
3 highlights throughout the document, especially on  
4 Chapter 8.

5           Next one.

6           Parcel A impacted sites that we found,  
7 basically Building 813, which was a site of leaking  
8 strontium-90.

9           Building 816, this is an impacted site, but  
10 realize that it was al- -- has already been released by  
11 RASO and California Department of Health Services. So  
12 it's a clean place.

13           Building 819 is the sanitary system pump  
14 station. We do not believe that that building is  
15 actually contaminated. But the pumps it- -- by itself  
16 may be contaminated.

17           Building 821 has already also been released by  
18 RASO and Cal. DHS.

19           Next.

20           Parcel B impacted sites. I'm not going to read  
21 you all of the -- all of the buildings. You can -- You  
22 will be able to read that later on in the HRA. But it's  
23 basically the series 100 buildings; Site 114, which was  
24 demolished; Dry Docks 5, 6, and 7; and Sites 7 and 18.

25           Next.

1           Parcel C is the 200 series buildings; Dry Docks  
2 2, 3, and 4.

3           Parcel D is the 300 series mostly and former --  
4 former building sites. Former building sites are  
5 basically sites that -- where the building was located  
6 and it's no longer there. It's been destroyed; it's  
7 been demolished.

8           The gun mole pier is also a place where -- that  
9 was used during CROSSROADS, and some of the  
10 contamination of the CROSSROADS ve- -- vessels was done  
11 at the gun mole pier.

12           And there's one place that we found in the 1949  
13 map, no other reference except on that map, it's called  
14 the NRDL site. We are assuming that it was actually  
15 used for storage of radioactive waste from the  
16 contamination of the vessels, but we don't have any  
17 other information. And this was just a little drawing  
18 on a map in 1949.

19           Next one.

20           Parcel E. Basically a 500 series buil- --  
21 Buildings 400 to 800 and former building -- 500 series  
22 and the 700 series, former Shacks 79 and 80 and the  
23 animal storage area and the waste triangle. The waste  
24 triangle is an area near Building 707 that was used by  
25 NRDL to store radioactive waste prior to being taken to

1 the Farallon Islands for being -- for dumping.

2           Next one.

3           Of course, Parcel E is a big one too. So  
4 Building -- we already went through it: Building 500  
5 series, experimental shielding range. It's a range that  
6 was used by NRDL to test shielding from radioactive  
7 materials, from radiation, for protection of the  
8 sailors.

9           So what they did is, they used different metals  
10 and different thicknesses of the metal to make sure that  
11 the sailors will be protected in case of nuclear  
12 detonation.

13           Sites 1/21, 2, and 3; the radioactive materials  
14 storage area in Building 704; the animal pens; salvage  
15 yard; scrap yard; and the shoreline 1 1/2 miles away.  
16 We have found radium-containing devices in the  
17 shoreline, basically deck markers that were used on the  
18 ships just during blackouts, used to make sure that  
19 nobody fell overboard.

20           Next one.

21           Parcel F. This includes the underwater areas  
22 on the ships for all 50 of them. What happens is,  
23 during the CROSSROADS testing, all of the vessels that  
24 were brought back here at Hunters Point, we don't know  
25 where they were. We have not found documents that say,

1 okay, we put all of the ships from Berth 1 through 20 or  
2 gun mole pier.

3           So instead of actually saying, well, giving --  
4 we are being very conservative here. We are just simply  
5 saying we are assuming that all 50 berths are -- are  
6 impacted. That doesn't mean, again, that they are  
7 contaminated. They are impacted. We have to make that  
8 assumption, since we don't have any other evidence  
9 otherwise.

10           Okay. Next one.

11           Off-base impacted site: We found one.  
12 Warehouse Building 418 is about 2 miles away from here.  
13 It was one of four warehouses that was used by Hunters  
14 Point, and it was used by NRDL for storage.

15           In 1970 the Atomic Energy Commission, which  
16 later on got divided into the Department of Energy and  
17 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, did actually a survey  
18 of the place, and it was cleared of radioactive  
19 materials. It's currently vacant, and we don't know if  
20 it's a FUDS right now, if it's a Formerly Used Defense  
21 Site. So we have -- just declare it's possible FUDS  
22 site.

23           Next one.

24           Basewide impacted sites. Okay. These are  
25 utility systems that cross parcel boundaries; and we

1 have the storm drain system, the sanitary sewer system;  
2 and it basically applies to those two systems that were  
3 associated with NR- -- NRDL operations.

4           So if we -- look at the next slide. You will  
5 see this is a storm drain system associated basically  
6 where -- where you had the NRDL, and it just goes  
7 through Parcel B, C, D, and E. And it excludes Parcel A  
8 most.

9           The next slide, please.

10           Sanitary sewer system. Again, it just crosses  
11 different boundaries and different parcels, mostly B, C,  
12 D.

13           And the next one.

14           The impacted FUDS sites. Well, we have NRDL  
15 main laboratory, Building 815. This was -- This  
16 building has been surveyed numerous times. It has been  
17 surveyed by NRDL when they left in 1969.

18           Then when the regulations changed -- it was the  
19 mid '70s -- RASO at that point wanted to do another  
20 survey based on -- and it was thought -- it was a very  
21 extensive survey, and the contamination was not found at  
22 that point. It is impacted. If there is any  
23 contamination, it may be in some of the systems.

24           Building 820 is that -- is the Cyclotron  
25 building; and Building 830, 831, is the animal colonies.

1 Those are FUDS sites. They are off base. Basically,  
2 they belong to the Army Corps of Engineers. They don't  
3 belong to the Navy right now.

4 Next one.

5 This is the impacted FUDS sites, just a map  
6 showing Building 815, 830.

7 Next one.

8 The site assessment. Okay. Section 8, which  
9 is, as I said, the meat of the -- of the document, it  
10 has an overview of each impacted site. Each building or  
11 each site has been taken apart, and it has been  
12 described. It has a history and has a site assessment  
13 and also a recommended action, and we'll go through the  
14 recommended actions in just a bit.

15 Also the categories is contamination potential,  
16 contamination me- -- contaminated media, whether it's  
17 water, groundwater, soils. The potential migration  
18 pathways also include whether it's soil, whether it's  
19 groundwater or surface water and, for example, the  
20 movement of contamination through a sewer system.

21 The summary -- In summary, we know that there  
22 is 90 impacted sites. Seventeen are known. Those 17  
23 known, continued access, and that's an example of  
24 Building 366. Thirty-two likely contaminated places and  
25 forty-one unlikely, meaning that there's a very, very

1 remote possibility of being contaminated.

2           And the recommended actions. Well, if a site  
3 is impacted, one of the recommendations may be a scoping  
4 survey, and this is the first -- a first type of survey  
5 that you do in trying to determine if there's any  
6 contamination.

7           Okay. We have an impacted site; we suspect  
  
8 that it has potential contamination, let's find out. So  
9 what we do is, we do our scoping survey, and this is  
10 needed to identify the radionuclides if they are there  
11 and the general levels and extent of contamination.

12           And the next one, if the place is contaminated;  
13 if we find that it's actually contaminated through the  
14 scoping survey, then we will go into characterization  
15 survey, and these are the surveys that we require to  
16 determine the extent of the contamination of the  
17 radionuclides. And, of course, the results are used to  
18 select what is the re- -- what will be the appropriate  
19 remediation techniques.

20           Next one.

21           The next type of recommended action will be a  
22 remediation. Now we know it is contaminated. So what  
23 are we going to do about it? Well, what type of  
24 techniques we are going to use? So this is a  
25 recommendation.



1           We don't discuss in the HRA the kinds of  
2 techniques for remediation. Those will be evaluated in  
3 a different document. But this is just our recommended  
4 action.

5           Then we will -- after remediation, if we have  
6 to do any type, we will go into a final status survey,  
7 and this is the survey that is conducted to make sure  
8 that the site meets current release criteria. It  
9 usually follows remediation, but it can also be used to  
10 verify surveys that were done in the past, actually meet  
11 current standards.

12           For this we use actually a protocol based on  
13 the -- called the MARSSIM protocol. It's used by the  
14 Department of Energy, used also by Nuclear Regulatory  
15 Commission, by EPA. So we just align ourselves to that  
16 type of survey.

17           Next slide, please.

18           Now, there are some sites that will be  
19 recommended for free release. This means that the  
20 analysis of the previous investigations have shown that  
21 this site is ready for review. So the data is there  
22 right now, okay. It's -- The co- -- the contractors  
23 have reviewed that data. They have passed it on to the  
24 Navy and to all the regulators, and they are in the  
25 process of reviewing this -- all these sites.

1           Once we see that these sites meet the current  
2 standards, then we can actually go into no further  
3 action. So we mean that this place is now released.

4           Next slide, please.

5           Site recommendation summary. You will see that  
6 out of the 90 impacted sites, 2 are no further action,  
7 34 are recommended for scoping surveys, 20 are  
8 recommended for characterization surveys, 5 are  
9 recommended for remediation, 1 for final status survey,  
10 and 28 are recommended for free release pending the  
11 final review.

12           Conclusions. We conclude basically on  
13 historical research that there's only low level of  
14 contamination at the site. That's the -- only has been  
15 found low levels of contamination. There's no evidence  
16 right now of contamination migrating out of Hunters  
17 Point and --

18           Excuse me? Oh, I'm sorry.

19           And we also conclude that the Shipyard tenants,  
20 the community, and the environment are not at risk for  
21 the previous radiological activities at HPS.

22           Could you wait for a minute, please?

23           On the presentation of the HRA, what we have  
24 distributed right now was -- I believe that it was --  
25 they started mailing it yesterday. So it should be

1 received by persons on the distribution list within the  
2 next few days. I believe it was sent FedEx.

3           There will be -- The plan period for comment  
4 will be 60 days, from 27 of February to the 27th of  
5 April.

6           Given the size of the document, approximately  
7 800 pages, in order to do a proper review, we expect  
8 that most of the regulators or some of the regulators  
9 may ask for extension over 60 days. Of course, Keith  
10 has already talked about the 20th of March meeting, and  
11 we will have the HRA team over there, somebody from  
12 RASO. Hopefully, Laurie will be able to come here and  
13 answer your questions.

14           And once we get all of those comments from the  
15 regulators and from the community, RASO will be -- will  
16 go to response period, and we expect to have the  
17 response period to RASO within 35 days.

18           Availability for the public. There's a Web  
19 site. Downloaded from the Web site or ftp site, which  
20 is the file transfer protocol, and you will find copies  
21 available at the local libraries of Arc Ecology Window  
22 on the Shipyard office.

23           And I believe that's all I have.

24           Next.

25           That was it. Any questions?

1 MS. OLIVA: Oh, thank you. So good to see you  
2 again.

3 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Good to see you too.

4 MS. OLIVA: I bet.

5 I have two questions. On Building 103, which  
6 is filled with artists -- in fact, I rented for 12 years  
7 in Building 104. I spent a lot of time in 103 -- you  
8 state that the "review of final status survey report,"  
9 now, has this -- this building been scoped already?

10 CMDR. FRAGOSO: It's on final status review?

11 MS. OLIVA: "Review of final status survey."

12 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Is that the conclusion there?  
13 I don't remember all of the -- all of the sites.

14 MS. BROWNELL: That's what it says on the  
15 table.

16 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Yeah, it is. It has been  
17 scoped already.

18 MS. OLIVA: And what -- what were the results  
19 of the scope? Did you find anything, or could you give  
20 me --? It says in the structures and in the drains.

21 MR. HANEY: Building 103 --

22 MR. FORMAN: Bill -- Bill, could you just --?  
23 It looks like you are going to have to be up there with  
24 Lino, because it's not going to --

25 CMDR. FRAGOSO: John and Bill, could you please

1 come over.

2 MR. TISDELL: More targets.

3 MS. OLIVA: Thank you very much.

4 MR. HANEY: Building 103 was used during the

5 CROSSROADS effort, more or less . . . [inaudible].

6 There was a change-out --

7 THE REPORTER: I'm having difficulty hearing

8 you.

9 MR. HANEY: I'm sorry.

10 THE REPORTER: Would you keep your

11 microphone --

12 MR. HANEY: There was change-out --

13 THE REPORTER: -- and I'm hearing sidebars

14 here.

15 MR. HANEY: It was change-out facility for

16 workers, for the Shipyard workers.

17 MS. OLIVA: Can you tell me what actual studio

18 spaces --?

19 MR. HANEY: There were no studio spaces that

20 were found contaminated. What we found was some minor

21 residual contamination in the drain lines.

22 MS. OLIVA: And the shower out on the second

23 floor?

24 MR. HANEY: In the drains, in the traps.

25 MS. OLIVA: In the traps. And that went down

1 through the sewer system?

2 MR. HANEY: Would be the assumption.

3 MS. OLIVA: Were the restrooms used at the same  
4 time, or are we only talking one -- one drainage area?

5 MR. HANEY: There was one drainage area. It  
6 was a separate area set aside and segregated for the  
7 Shipyard workers' use.

8 MS. OLIVA: Okay.

9 MR. HANEY: And it was -- again, it was at very  
10 minor levels that were found in the trap.

11 MS. OLIVA: Minor levels of what?

12 MR. HANEY: Of cesium-137.

13 MS. OLIVA: Cesium-137. Thank you.

14 And what designation did you find in the  
15 structure?

16 MR. HANEY: We found nothing in the structure.  
17 What we did was, when we see contamination, we give the  
18 structure a potential to be contaminated. And when we  
19 did the scoping survey, there was nothing located in --  
20 on the actual structure of the building.

21 MS. OLIVA: Even though it has an "L" under  
22 structure?

23 MR. HANEY: It's low. What we didn't want to  
24 do was absolutely exclude something until we had  
25 confirmatory information one way or the other.

1           So we did not -- we don't have the building  
2 bought off. We have the information from the final  
3 status survey that says there's nothing there, but it  
4 hasn't been released yet.

5           MS. OLIVA: What about the people that have  
  
6 been in there? I have one friend that had a studio in  
7 there for 18 years.

8           MR. HANEY: It would probably be better for me  
9 to let Lino address what the dose effects would be.

10          MS. OLIVA: Okay. Thank you.

11          MR. HANEY: But just in general, they are so  
12 low and so minimal that you would never see a physical  
13 effect, and there was no way that they would have gotten  
14 back out of that drain and back into the rooms.

15          MS. OLIVA: Well, the woman that had that  
16 studio made paper in that studio and used that drain all  
17 the time.

18          MR. HANEY: That would have been a flow down  
19 the drain.

20          MS. OLIVA: Well, I don't know how you make  
21 paper. But sometimes things come back up. Thank you so  
22 much.

23          MR. HANEY: I don't know either.

24          MS. OLIVA: I have one other question.

25          MR. FORMAN: No. Let's move on.

1 MS. OLIVA: Only one?

2 MR. BROWN: Can we move on? That was before  
3 other people have questions too.

4 MS. SUMCHAI: Yeah. Save it for the 20th,  
5 'cause, you know, there's a lot of information to be  
6 covered.

7 MR. TOMPKINS: Mine will be brief. On page 16  
8 of your piece on "HRA Conclusions," you state that  
9 "low-level contamination has been found" at the sites.  
10 Are these sites residential?

11 In other words, when we start a classification  
12 usage of the property, is it safe to put a child in  
13 there? Can I put a resident with unrestricted usage on  
14 that property? Since you've classified it.

15 I'm trying to get clarity on what do you mean  
16 by low-level contamination is an unrestricted use. Is  
17 it residential without risk? Can I put a child in that  
18 area? Can I grow vegetables?

19 CMDR. FRAGOSO: The levels that we have found  
20 here are basically very low levels. They are non- --  
21 levels that won't cause any kind of health effects. I  
22 won't -- From my point of view, I won't have any  
23 problems putting my kids to play around some of those  
24 areas. I have no problems at all.

25 MR. TOMPKINS: "Some" or all?



1 CMDR. FRAGOSO: In the ones that we have like  
2 access, unrestricted access, I won't have any problems.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: So it's unrestricted.

4 Other question will be short. On your charts  
5 that in previous testimony that was given here before  
6 the RAB, it was practice, common practice as stated -- I  
7 can't get to the actual draft -- that they would pour  
8 radio contamination down the drains. And I -- am I  
9 seeing on the charts there that there's one sanitation  
10 sewage system and storm drain?

11 One, which drain was this material poured down,  
12 and how far was scoping have you done to do a  
13 determination on it? And what is the low risk level,  
14 cumulative low risk -- low risk level of exposure to  
15 human beings?

16 And again, also, after you do that, again, is  
17 it restricted usage where it be on the industrial or is  
18 it safe for residential usage, or would you need to pull  
19 out all these storm drain systems to make it safe?

20 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Well, we have -- we have  
21 found -- the contamination that we have found is near  
22 the sites, right? We have not scoped all of the system,  
23 okay. What we have done is, the whole sewer system has  
24 been declared impacted just because we have found near  
25 NRD- --

1 MR. TOMPKINS: I'm sorry. I missed a word.  
2 You had declared --  
3 CMDR. FRAGOSO: -- that whole system impacted  
4 because what we have found is con- -- low levels of  
5 contamination in the drains near the NRDL buildings.  
6 Okay? We don't know if the whole system is  
7 contaminated. We have to assume. We have to make a  
8 conservative assumption because what we have found is  
9 there's contamination in those -- in those drains.  
10 MR. TOMPKINS: And sewage drains therefore  
11 under that assumption, then you would assume, for  
12 example, there may be clogs or an accumulation of  
13 the --?  
14 CMDR. FRAGOSO: There is a possibility.  
15 There's a possibility that there may be as many as in  
16 any drain system where you have bends or anything and in  
17 those bends you have sewage that accumulates, there's a  
18 possibility that there may be more accumulation in those  
19 areas.  
20 MS. J. WRIGHT: You have another question on  
21 the other side.  
22 MR. TOMPKINS: The only safe way is then to  
23 remove the system?  
24 MS. J. WRIGHT: If we could move on.  
25 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Well --

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: There's going to be additional  
2 discussion that are going to be on the March 20th  
3 meeting.

4 MS. ATTENDEE: Right.

5 MS. J. WRIGHT: So we can have more in-depth --

6 MR. TOMPKINS: Unfortunately --

7 MS. SUMCHAI: I -- I have some comments I want  
8 to make because they represent time-constraint issues,  
9 and I will focus my concerns on the very aggressive  
10 efforts to transfer Parcel A and the impact that the HRA  
11 has on that transfer.

12 First let me correct you. You said that the --  
13 you know, the draft final HRA is finished. It's not  
14 finished. It's open to comment until April the 27th,  
15 and then a final document will be issued, and based on  
16 what I just preliminarily -- I haven't had a chance to  
17 review since 2 o'clock this afternoon -- there's quite a  
18 bit of meat with regard to issue here.

19 With regard to Parcel A, there's some very,  
20 very real issue. The Buildings 813 and Building 819 --  
21 let me read from the HRA 82: "A parcel is not  
22 transferred from the Navy until all requirements to  
23 unrestricted release of the property have been met."

24 813 and 819 are on Parcel A. 813 is a very  
25 large structure. Building 819 is a smaller structure.

1 But as we all have pointed out, prior to 1974, it had a  
2 high potential for permissible releases into the storm  
3 drain system, and these storm drains cross other parcel  
4 boundaries. So as an adjacency issue, it's very, very  
5 real. And then with regard to the immediate efforts to  
6 transfer Parcel A, it's very, very real issue.

7           Building 813 they say that the potential for  
8 contamination is not high. But there was a leaking  
9 300 microcuries strontium-90 check source found there.  
10 And I think you guys know that like with Building 821,  
11 that building was supposed to have nothing in it. But  
12 until we pressured, you know, to have it investigated  
13 and there was some findings in the drain -- drains  
14 there, it did turn out to have some positive findings.

15           So these are buildings that have real history  
16 that suggest that they are impacted.

17           Now, according to the document, the Navy  
18 released a proposed resolution of issues identified for  
19 Parcel A. They are saying here that they revised the  
20 boundary of Parcel A to exclude Building 813 and 819 to  
21 remove any potential for impact to Parcel A.

22           The boundary here is -- let's see, I think this  
23 is Spear Avenue. I -- I'm not sure how a building this  
24 large can be excluded from the boundaries. But clearly,  
25 in my mind, the fact that there are two buildings with a

1 high potential for not only being, you know, impacted,  
2 but contaminated up on this parcel, I mean, this is a  
3 real issue.

4           The main concerns that I had is that the Navy  
5 wants us to resolve the issues with regard to the FOST  
6 by March the 11th, and we have decided that we are going  
7 to have a big meeting to discuss the HRA on March the  
8 20th.

9           So very, very clearly we are probably not going  
10 to be able to review the HRA and significant  
11 radiological findings that are in this document as it  
12 pertains to this, you know, FOST. So I would encourage  
13 the Navy to consider extending the deadline here.  
14 There's very -- very, very strong, you know, compelling  
15 arguments to do that.

16           Then in summary, let me just say that one of  
17 the concerns I do have is that 815 disappears in this  
18 document. Now, 815 is a FUDS. And there are areas  
19 where it's designated as a FUDS. But 815 poses some  
20 significant adjacency issues, because the Navy has  
21 identified that it needs a scoping survey.

22           So now in addition to the four impacted  
23 contaminated sites on Parcel A that are known, there is  
24 the adjacency issue posed by Building 815 in their  
25 recommendation that it undergo a scoping survey.

1           So we need to just think a lot about what we  
2 are doing with Parcel A.

3           MS. J. WRIGHT: With the comments that were  
4 made, there was the question of additional time. That  
5 was just a suggestion, or is there a request?

6           MS. SUMCHAI: It's a suggestion, but I don't  
7 see how -- why would you expect people to respond to  
8 the -- the issues here when you've got all these new  
9 issue -- it doesn't -- this HRA doesn't even say what  
10 you're going to be doing.

11          MR. FORMAN: Ahimsa, I think you're a little  
12 confused.

13          MS. SUMCHAI: No, I'm not confused.

14          MR. FORMAN: You are. Hold on. Hold on.

15          MS. SUMCHAI: I have read -- I -- I've read to  
16 you what is printed in documents. Now, tell me what I'm  
17 confused about.

18          MR. FORMAN: You're confused because the  
19 March 11th deadline is the deadline for the regulators  
20 and anyone else who inputted comments that were  
21 considered unresolved comments to look over and see the  
22 Navy's response to those specific unresolved comments.

23          MS. SUMCHAI: Right.

24          MR. FORMAN: That deadline has nothing to do  
25 with a draft final FOST deadline or the draft final HRA

1 deadline.

2 MS. SUMCHAI: Yes, it does, because one of the  
3 comments in your response is that the final additional  
4 radiological surveys are required to Building 813,  
5 Building 819, Pump Station A, and sanitary sewer main  
6 lines associated with Building 819. Due to this  
7 finding, the Parcel A boundary has been revised to  
8 exclude these locations in order to remove any potential  
9 impact.

10 This is relevant to the findings in the HRA  
11 about these buildings and the efforts to transfer this  
12 parcel.

13 MR. FORMAN: No, that's not true.

14 MS. SUMCHAI: It is true.

15 MR. FORMAN: No.

16 MS. SUMCHAI: What you guys don't want to deal  
17 with is that you're trying to transfer Parcel A in the  
18 face of mounting evidence that it is contaminated.

19 Now, as far -- as far as I'm concerned, the  
20 Parcel A Record of Decision needs to be thrown out. It  
21 needs to be legally thrown out. This is new evidence  
22 that that parcel is significantly contaminated, and  
23 these are buildings that have not been released by the  
24 Department of Health Services, as is a requirement.

25 MS. J. WRIGHT: So with that -- with that in

1 mind and that point that's been brought up, the  
2 resolution can't be -- can't happen at this point in  
3 time. So it's going to be in a follow-up meeting to  
4 discuss those things to bring the resolution. So --

5 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

6 MS. J. WRIGHT: -- that could be --

7 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

8 And I -- my recommendation, Dr. Sumchai, is to  
9 talk to me further on this so that we can go over it. I  
10 don't want to belabor this in front of everybody. But  
11 I'll show you the dea- -- the deadlines for the various  
12 documents, and I think there is a source of confusion  
13 here.

14 MS. SUMCHAI: No.

15 MR. FORMAN: Okay. Fine.

16 MS. SUMCHAI: I think it's just very obvious  
17 that you're trying to push this through.

18 MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, let's agree to disagree  
19 on this point, and we'll have a sidebar follow-up  
20 meeting.

21 Keith, you had a question?

22 MR. TISDELL: Yes. I have a question  
23 pertaining to Mr. To- -- Mr. Tompkins.

24 Now, you said you would feel comfortable in  
25 putting your kids down on -- on -- down there after



1 remediation?

2 MR. FORMAN: You mean Commander Fragoso.

3 MR. MANUEL: Yeah, not Tompkins.

4 MR. TISDELL: Yeah, okay. Now, now, with the  
5 background of the people in Bayview-Hunters Point with  
6 the cancer, asthma, and people who take cancer  
7 treatments go through chemo which depletes their immune  
8 system, you mean, you would be okay putting someone down  
9 there who's going through cancer treatments, who immune  
10 system isn't up to par like me or yours is?

11 CMDR. FRAGOSO: I got reiterate that the amount  
12 of contamination that we have found here in the  
13 buildings in Hunters Point are not the size -- is very  
14 minimal. None of the levels that we have found are  
15 actually considered health threatening. And the amounts  
16 of material or radiation emitted is very, very minimal;  
17 and I don't -- my professional opinion is that it won't  
18 affect anybody that is undergoing chemo.

19 MS. J. WRIGHT: You have a question here.

20 MR. TOMPKINS: Point of clarification  
21 pertaining to --

22 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

23 MR. TOMPKINS: -- in terms of procedurewise and  
24 then Dr. Ahimsa's issue that do we not have a period in  
25 this meeting where if it's a point of action that we can

1 make a resolution on that later on asking for an action  
2 or issue to be addressed by this Board?

3 I've never before in all my meetings have tell  
4 us that they can't do that, because she is a chair of  
5 the committee that deals with the radiological studies.

6 MS. J. WRIGHT: Well, that question -- I did  
7 ask that question --

8 MR. TOMPKINS: Just for procedural purposes.

9 MS. J. WRIGHT: -- and -- and it was not a  
10 matter of saying what someone would not do, would or  
11 would not do. The choice was to discuss this or -- at  
12 another meeting. But no, I -- according to the -- to  
13 the bylaws that I've looked at, yes, you can make  
14 recommendations.

15 MR. TOMPKINS: And we can at a later time at a  
16 meeting so do, right?

17 MS. J. WRIGHT: Yes.

18 MR. TOMPKINS: I'd so recommend Dr. Ahimsa, you  
19 do so at a later time so your issues may be addressed  
20 tonight.

21 MR. MANUEL: Well, actually, I -- I'm the one  
22 that's supposed to have the floor right now.

23 CMDR. FRAGOSO: Oh, sorry.

24 MR. MANUEL: Now he's bogarting the floor.

25 CMDR. FRAGOSO: What?

1           MR. TOMPKINS: He don't need no microphone.

2           MR. MANUEL: Well --

3           MR. TOMPKINS: Speak up.

4           MR. MANUEL: Okay. I have, like, a question  
5 and a half. But before I go into that, before I lose  
6 track of myself, to me, everyone that participates in  
7 these meetings are -- are extended family.

8           MR. BROWN: Right.

9           MR. MANUEL: Everybody. And if any one of you  
10 got sick, injured, or whatever, regardless of our  
11 previous conflicts or whatever, I'd feel some kind of  
12 loss.

13           I believe the only fitting thing here is to  
14 make sure that we do something for Laurie and her  
15 husband to make sure that we appreciate the work that  
16 has been done here, and -- and their suffering is ours  
17 because they put their lives and their hearts into  
18 making sure we have something here to even argue about.

19           So I -- I would like to ask our artists maybe  
20 if they could put some card or something together that  
21 we could all sign and make sure that they get it to show  
22 our appreciation. I mean, you guys are more qualified  
23 than the rest of us as far as putting stuff together  
24 artistic. You know, I -- I'd like to ask that, and I  
25 don't have to get an answer, but I think that's the only

1 right thing to do here.

2           And having said that, my question is regarding  
3 this: Being that this area is zoned heavy industrial,  
4 are you reusing it as something different, or are you  
5 making sure the standards are consistent with the uses  
6 that it's currently zoned for?

7           Because it seems to be some misunderstanding  
8 here that you should supposed to be able to plant  
9 vegetables and do whatever and the place is all heavy  
10 industrial, and my understanding of the process that has  
11 been discussed here previously is that it was going to  
12 be cleaned up to standards that are consistent with what  
13 the EPA and -- and laws insinuate it's supposed to be  
14 cleaned up to.

15           And further, I don't think it's very fair for  
16 us to insinuate on the Navy to have a sewage s- -- a  
17 system that's cleaner than our city streets are.

18           I mean, if we are going to be fair about this  
19 and consistent about it, the sewers here should be as  
20 per what sewers are in the rest of San Francisco; and we  
21 shouldn't put a burden on them any heavier that we are  
22 going to be putting on the rest of the city or the rest  
23 of the state because it -- it basically just stops us  
24 from moving forward, and -- and it doesn't allow us to  
25 get further down the road.

1           So I -- I guess for clarification purposes,  
2 what are we doing here?

3           Because frankly, I don't think it's fair for  
4 one or two people to have the report. I think before we  
5 start voting on something further, we need to get a copy  
6 of this, and we need to be informed so we haven't put  
7 not just a person or two.

8           And I don't think it's fair to put it on Pete  
9 or the regulators that are putting this before us.  
10 We're putting the cart before the horse. Let's get the  
11 information. Let's go over the information. Let's deal  
12 with it as it is, and I don't hear anybody saying that  
13 they are against that.

14          So why don't we be fair to these people and  
15 appreciate the work that they are attempting to do and  
16 go on from there?

17          So maybe you can answer that question for me,  
18 and I'll just shut up past that, about the -- about  
19 the -- the zoning and to what levels you are -- so it's  
20 clear so people will know what to expect as per the  
21 levels of cleanliness as per heavy industrial, or -- or  
22 what is it? Because I don't -- I don't know.

23          MR. FORMAN: Set up a program for  
24 radioisotopes.

25          CMDR. FRAGOSO: The -- the state has pretty

1 much told us that we got to meet the release criterion  
2 of 25 millirems per year, or meet the Reg Guide 1.86,  
3 which is specific for radionuclides.

4 MR. MANUEL: Okay.

5 CMDR. FRAGOSO: One point eighty-s- -- We have  
6 agreed to meet the standards of 1.86 which are very  
7 specific whether it is for industrial or residential.

8 MR. MANUEL: Sure.

9 CMDR. FRAGOSO: So for inside structures, we  
10 have -- we have committed to the state to meet that  
11 standard. For outside, we are committed to meet the  
12 standard of the EPA of 50 millirem per year.

13 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

14 MR. MANUEL: Works for me.

15 MS. J. WRIGHT: We've really --

16 CMDR. FRAGOSO: By the way, thank you for your  
17 kind words for -- about Laurie.

18 MR. MANUEL: I mean that, because we appreciate  
19 these people.

20 MS. J. WRIGHT: At this point in time, I'd  
21 like -- the discussion really according to the agenda  
22 should be closed as far as the amount of time that is  
  
23 allotted for questions for we have additional time for  
24 the meeting; but as far as questions for this particular  
25 item, we have really gone over the -- the amount of time

1 that was -- that was allotted -- allotted on the -- on  
2 the agenda.

3           So there are a couple of things that needed to  
4 be clarified in this -- in this discussion, though. And  
5 that was the question of whether or not there would be a  
6 recommendation coming from this board to the Navy per  
7 the suggestion by Dr. --

8           MS. SUMCHAI: Sumchai.

9           MS. J. WRIGHT: -- Sumchai. So that is --

10          MR. BROWN: Suggestion.

11          MR. FORMAN: I don't even know what the

12 suggestion was at this point.

13          MR. BROWN: The suggestion --

14          MS. J. WRIGHT: She --

15          Dr. Sumchai --

16          MS. SUMCHAI: Yeah.

17          MS. J. WRIGHT: -- you had said you wanted  
18 additional time? That was --

19          MS. SUMCHAI: Right.

20          MS. J. WRIGHT: -- something that came up?

21          MS. SUMCHAI: The --

22          MS. J. WRIGHT: And then it was --

23          MS. SUMCHAI: This --

24          MS. J. WRIGHT: -- echoed over here as well?

25          MS. SUMCHAI: The Navy's proposed resolution of

1 issues identified for the Parcel A finding of  
2 suitability to transfer. The comments have to be in by  
3 March the 11th.

4           And the specific concerns that I have are on  
5 page 1, and they relate to these new buildings that have  
6 been found on Parcel A that are potentially radiation  
7 contaminated. And Buildings 813 and 819 and the  
8 decision to revise the boundaries, it specifically says,  
9 "The upcoming Draft Final HRA will provide additional  
10 detail regarding radiological history of these  
11 locations." It says that.

12           MR. FORMAN: Yes.

13           MS. SUMCHAI: So if we are going to review the  
14 HRA on March the 20th, then let's at least extend the  
15 deadline for submission of comments --

16           MR. BROWN: Right.

17           MS. SUMCHAI: -- to your responses beyond that  
18 date. I think that that's a perfectly reasonable thing  
19 to ask.

20           MR. TOMPKINS: What would be the time that  
21 you'd ask for an extension so that people would have  
22 something to vote on? What would your committee need?  
23 How much time --

24           MS. SUMCHAI: My personal --

25           MR. TOMPKINS: -- to review it?



1 MS. SUMCHAI: -- feeling is that the comments  
2 for the -- the FOST should be submitted at the same time  
3 as the completion of comments for the HRA, because the  
4 HRA has key issues that are relevant not only to  
5 Parcel A, but to -- to the entire base; but it's not at  
6 all unreasonable to --

7 MR. TOMPKINS: So keep going, a resolution to  
8 that.

9 MS. SUMCHAI: So April -- April 27th is the  
10 deadline for submission of comments for the HRA. It  
11 seems reasonable that if people are prepared to make  
12 comments then, that they can intelligently address the  
13 issues with regard to the proposed transfer.

14 MR. TOMPKINS: Can you put that in the form of  
15 a motion so that the members may vote?

16 MS. SUMCHAI: I would like to have the RAB  
17 approve a motion to extend the deadline for the Navy's  
18 proposed resolution of issues identified for the  
19 Parcel A finding of suitability to transfer to  
20 April 27th, 2004.

21 MR. MANUEL: Second.

22 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. It's been moved, and  
23 it's been second that the RAB -- the RAB have a motion  
24 to extend that date to April 27th. Any discussion?

25 MR. TOMPKINS: Call the question.

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: All in favor?

2 THE BOARD: Aye.

3 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. All right.

4 MR. TOMPKINS: Any opposition? Make sure --

5 keep it clean.

6 MR. MANUEL: Doesn't matter to her. She --

7 MR. TOMPKINS: I remember one time we had folks

8 who were upset because they were minority dissent.

9 MS. J. WRIGHT: Any nays?

10 MR. MANUEL: She don't care about the

11 opposition.

12 MR. FORMAN: The recommendation --

13 MS. J. WRIGHT: So it's been moved and second

14 and it has carried, the recommendation.

15 I would like to -- I don't think we took a

16 moment to thank our presenters for giving that

17 presentation. So we'd like to just . . .

18 (Applause)

19 MS. J. WRIGHT: And with that, getting through

20 the agenda, we have a moment of if there are any

21 particular questions or issues, Melita?

22 MS. RINES: Okay. I think that J. R. made a

23 good point that we should have something sent down for

24 Laurie and her husband.

25 MR. BROWN: Right.

1 MS. RINES: As opposed to taking the time to do  
2 something where everybody signs it, I'm thinking, you  
3 know, we should have the Navy send something down,  
4 stating it's from the entire RAB board that we're  
5 thinking of her now, knowing that she's not here.

6 I think it would be easier to get it there  
7 quickly, because otherwise, how -- it's going to be  
8 rather difficult to get everybody to sign it. We don't  
9 have a card today, and how are we going to find  
10 everybody between then and now?

11 MR. BROWN: We have signatures already. We  
12 have signatures, the government work.

13 (Laughter)

14 MS. J. WRIGHT: I guess even -- even in this  
15 area of sentiment, if the Board wants the Navy to take  
16 action, it seems like there needs to be some kind of a  
17 motion.

18 MS. RINES: Right, right. That -- that's what  
19 I think is that I would like to make a motion that the  
20 Navy sends a big honkin' thing of flowers down there  
21 from the entire RAB.

22 MR. FORMAN: You can't do that.

23 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay. That can't --

24 MR. FORMAN: Well --

25 MS. J. WRIGHT: Okay.

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: Clarification.

2 MR. FORMAN: Yeah. Just, Melita, I love the  
3 sentiment behind that, but there's no such thing as the  
4 Navy sending her a bunch of flowers. She -- she's in  
5 the Navy.

6 MS. RINES: Right.

7 MR. FORMAN: Are you recommending that I send  
8 her --

9 MS. RINES: Yes.

10 MR. FORMAN: -- a bunch of flowers as -- as an  
11 individual, not as --?

12 MR. MANUEL: Well, wait a minute. He can't do  
13 that.

14 MR. FORMAN: You understand what I'm doing.

15 MR. MANUEL: Can I ask -- can I ask Melita  
16 something here?

17 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

18 MR. MANUEL: With -- excuse me. Knock you out  
19 there.

20 You know, I -- first I was trying to understand  
21 what you're saying, but it made total sense once I  
22 thought about it for a minute, because it would be very  
23 difficult with the time constraints to get everybody's  
24 signature, I mean, personal with the signatures.

25 But your -- your ad thing, I think, made it all

1 much better; and being that she's in the Navy and  
2 whatever, you know, maybe we should just -- if it's  
3 going to be a issue there where it really is from all of  
4 us, maybe we should -- somebody got a hat on? We can  
5 just throw something in a hat.

6           You have a hat on. Why don't we just -- why  
7 don't we just go in our wallets and just put  
8 something -- just put something in -- in the hat? That  
9 way it's truly from all of us. It's not from Keith.  
10 And then we'll put -- you're saying earlier -- maybe all  
11 our names and all of -- everybody's names could be put  
12 on there, and that could, you know, maybe suffice  
13 instead of us being able to sign it all. Well, what do  
14 you -- what do you feel about that?

15           MS. J. WRIGHT: We got a response here.

16           MR. MANUEL: Okay.

17           MS. J. WRIGHT: First of all, Laurie --

18           MR. TERZIAN: I don't need a microphone.

19           MS. J. WRIGHT: Excuse me, but Maurice was  
20 first.

21           MR. TERZIAN: Oh.

22           MR. CAMPBELL: I would like to ask possibly for  
23 our community outreach contractors that our fund for  
24 doing community outreach for the RAB, is there a  
25 possibility they could do that on behalf of the RAB?

1           MR. ACHARYA: Yeah.

2           MR. CAMPBELL: And maybe we can. Thank you

3 very much.

4           MR. KEICHLINE: Well, to what extent? I mean,

5 are we talking --?

6           MR. MANUEL: No, but that still doesn't -- that

7 still is not us doing it. That's somebody else doing

8 it.

9           MR. CAMPBELL: Well, no, no. I mean it can be

10 personalized. But if we are talking about doing

11 something and we're doing something we are asking the

12 Navy to do it, well, we have a community outreach

13 contractor who actually works for us. Okay?

14           So if you want to talk about specifics of

15 mechanics, here is how you do it.

16           MR. MANUEL: Okay. Well, I -- I think what we

17 were talking about earlier is how do we -- who pays for

18 these flowers that are from all of us?

19           MR. BROWN: Arvind.

20           MS. ATTENDEE: And --

21           MR. MANUEL: Well, then, it's just from him,

22 not really from all of us.

23           MS. J. WRIGHT: Please.

24           MR. TERZIAN: Maurice, are you finished?

25           MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, I am, yeah.

1           MR. TERZIAN: My name's David Terzian. I  
2 manage the artist facilities out here. We can get a  
3 piece donated and brought over to Dago Mary's probably  
4 by Monday of next week. And if everyone would like to  
5 come in at their leisure and sign it within the next,  
6 say, seven or eight days, we'll get it framed up and  
7 shipped out to . . .

8           MS. J. WRIGHT: Laurie.

9           MR. TERZIAN: Laurie, yeah. Does anybody have  
10 any objection that maybe they could take the time to  
11 come out in the next week or so and --

12          MS. SUMCHAI: It's not an objection.

13          MR. TERZIAN: -- come over to Dago Mary's and  
14 have it signed?

15          MS. SUMCHAI: They're going to be here on the  
16 20th. Maybe we should just have something that's an  
17 event that recognizes, celebrates their --

18          MR. MANUEL: Well, that sounds good to me.

19          Contribution as part of that.

20          MS. SUMCHAI: -- contribution --

21          MR. TERZIAN: So --

22          MS. SUMCHAI: -- as part of that.

23          MR. TERZIAN: So what we'll do is, I'll get a  
24 piece down -- down here by Monday of next week. And is  
25 the -- is the RAB going to be meeting prior to their

1 coming in?

2 MS. RINES: No.

3 MR. TERZIAN: Okay. So at your leisure come  
4 down, and we'll talk to Joe or Giaco [sic] and let them  
5 know where it's going to be, and you can come in and  
6 sign it at your leisure. Is that acceptable to  
7 everyone?

8 MR. MANUEL: So do you like the idea of  
9 everybody signing?

10 MR. TERZIAN: Sure. We can --

11 MR. MANUEL: I know I do. I like that very --

12 MS. RINES: I think there's only one logistic  
13 problem with that. Dago Mary's isn't open at night.

14 MR. MANUEL: And some people are not going to  
15 be able to be here.

16 MS. RINES: Well, that's the -- that's the only  
17 thing.

18 MR. TERZIAN: Okay.

19 MS. RINES: So, I mean, that's -- I think  
20 that's great. I mean, I -- I don't know. I mean, if  
21 people can get down here during the day, I -- I think  
22 that would be great to have it if they make something  
23 and have that.

24 MR. TERZIAN: Okay.

25 MS. RINES: Or if you can have it right before



1 when they come up on the 20th.

2 MR. TERZIAN: Well, there's another location.

3 Our office is open usually till 9:00 or 10:00 in the

4 evening. It's in Building 101 on the Shipyard, and I

5 can make that address available for everyone that would

6 like to come down to 101.

7 MR. MANUEL: But isn't this supposed to be kind

8 of a get-well thing?

9 MS. RINES: Yeah.

10 MR. MANUEL: And it's kind of late in the game

11 to give it to them when they get here. You know --

12 MR. TERZIAN: Whatever you'd like to do. I'm

13 just saying that we can get --

14 MR. MANUEL: I hear you.

15 MR. MASON: We meet on the 9th -- on the 9th,

16 don't we, here, on the 9th of March?

17 MR. FORMAN: Yes, for the Parcel D action memo.

18 MR. MASON: That's it.

19 MS. LANE: Come to the Parcel D meeting.

20 MS. RINES: Very good.

21 MR. TERZIAN: Okay. Does that work?

22 MS. RINES: That works.

23 MR. MANUEL: We're having meetings anyway.

24 MR. SAUNDERS: Just one suggestion. You're all

25 here tonight. Why don't we get some blank sheets of

1 paper and sit down and write your comments on that blank  
2 sheet of paper, sign your name now, give it to the  
3 artist to work it into a piece.

4 MS. SUMCHAI: Wow.

5 MR. SAUNDERS: We are all here now.

6 MR. MANUEL: You know, that's a good idea. It  
7 would be a -- it would be a signature and then you can  
8 just maybe input it into a computer and just add  
9 everybody's signature. All right.

10 MS. J. WRIGHT: The bylaws don't exactly have  
11 any direction about --

12 MR. TOMPKINS: I gave him a five. I gave him  
13 \$5. Jesus Christ, we got issues other than this.  
14 Excuse me.

15 MS. J. WRIGHT: So I'm -- I'm thinking probably  
16 if there are any other things that are germane to the  
17 commonwealth in terms of the RAB other than sentiment at  
18 this point in time, we'll talk about that. And then I  
19 think when we adjourn, there's a opportunity for people  
20 to gather and talk about how they can give the proper  
21 reso- -- resolution to this. Okay?

22 Any additional questions or concerning issues?  
23 Lynne?

24 MR. BROWN: I like to make a motion that we  
25 adjourn.

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: It's been moved. Maurice?

2 MR. CAMPBELL: I basically had a question  
3 for -- before about Building 815. It's a FUDS property.  
4 It was released before. It is occupied. And the new  
5 MARSSIM standard has come -- has come about. And before  
6 I don't -- I think RASO wanted to work with the Army  
7 Corps of Engineers, and I wanted to find out what has  
8 happened since then. Has -- has that been worked out?

9 CMDR. FRAGOSO: No, it hasn't. It has not  
10 been worked out yet.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. So we -- we can expect  
12 some form of an update in a report, because that's  
13 really important to us.

14 CMDR. FRAGOSO: We'll try to get you more by  
15 the next RAB meeting.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

17 MS. J. WRIGHT: So update will be given.  
18 It's -- it's been on the floor right now --

19 MS. RINES: I second the motion to add.

20 MR. RAB MEMBER: Second.

21 MR. BROWN: All in favor?

22 THE BOARD: Aye.

23 MS. RINES: Opposed?

24 MR. MANUEL: No, she -- she don't ever ask for  
25 opposed.

1 MS. J. WRIGHT: The meeting's been adjourned.

2 The next meeting will be on March 25th, and Marsha

3 Pendergrass will be with you.

4 (Off record at 7:36 p.m., 2/26/04.)

5 ---oOo---

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand  
this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.

---

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569